

# NOTICE OF DECISION

Washington Zoning Board of Adjustment

**Case No:** 15-80

**Date of Decision:** 8/31/2022



The Selectmen, any party to the action, or any person directly affected has a right to appeal this decision. For complete information, see [RSA 677:2 Rehearing and Appeal Procedures](#). This notice has been placed on file and made available for public inspection in the records of the ZBA. Copies of this notice have been distributed to the applicant and the Board of Selectmen.

<b>Applicant</b>	Jim Black
<b>Address</b>	1021 Millen Pond Rd. Washington, NH 03280
<b>Owner</b>	Jim Black
<b>Lot</b>	15-89

You are hereby notified of the decision by vote of the ZBA of the following variances.

	<b>Variance</b>	<b>LUO</b>	<b>Decision</b>
<b>1</b>	Setback of camper and storage container within unspecified limits of lot lines	202	Granted

Because the applicant cannot predict the exact location of the camper and storage container, the Board imposes the following conditions.

Conditions of Decision	
1	No part of either the camper or the storage container may be closer than 10 feet to any lot line or 35 feet to the shoreline.
2	The variance expires when construction is complete.

## References

<b>RSA 677:2</b>	Rehearing and Appeal Procedures	<a href="https://tinyurl.com/mr3erk82">https://tinyurl.com/mr3erk82</a>
<b>LUOs</b>	Washington Land Use Ordinance	<a href="https://tinyurl.com/yrdscxba">https://tinyurl.com/yrdscxba</a>
<b>Application</b>	Application for Variance 7/21/2022	<a href="https://tinyurl.com/24t2wuc5">https://tinyurl.com/24t2wuc5</a>

## Findings of Fact

Variance Criteria	Satisfied?
Variations must not be contrary to the public interest	Yes <sup>[1]</sup>
The spirit of the Land Use Ordinance will be observed	Yes <sup>[1]</sup>
Substantial justice will be done	Yes <sup>[1]</sup>
The values of surrounding properties will not be diminished	Yes <sup>[1]</sup>
Literal enforcement of the Land Use Ordinance would result in unnecessary hardship	Yes <sup>[1]</sup>

<sup>[1]</sup> The Board accepts the representations of the applicant in support of these variance criteria.

### 1: Variance from LUO §306 unnecessary

The application suggests that a variance from LUO §306 is required. However, the only relevant provision of §306 simply specifies that the setback requirements of §202 be met.

**THEREFORE:** The Board finds that a variance from any of the provisions of LUO §306 is unnecessary.